Extraordinary Cabinet



St Edmundsbury BOROUGH COUNCIL

Title of Report:	Norfolk and S Devolution A	
Report No:	CAB/SE/16/032	
Report to and date:	Extraordinary Cabinet	28 June 2016
Portfolio holder:	John Griffiths Leader of the Council Tel: 01284 757136 Email : john.griffiths@stedsbc.gov.uk	
Lead officer:	Ian Gallin Chief Executive Tel: 01284 757009 Email: <u>ian.gallin@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>	
Purpose of report:	To consider the report placed before Council on 28 June 2016 (Report No: COU/SE/16/010 refers) in respect of Devolution and take a final decision as there are Executive Functions in the decisions taken.	
Recommendations:	Subject to their approval by Council on 28 June 2016, Cabinet is asked to <u>ENDORSE</u> the recommendations considered by Council in respect of the Norfolk and Suffolk Devolution Agreement contained in Report No: COU/SE/16/010, as follows:	
	-	endorses the signing of the Ik Devolution Agreement by
	and having regard explored in the Ec (EqIA), the Auth establishment of Authority for Norfe which most ful	olk and Suffolk is the option ly permits the effective unctions that Government is
		Continued

3 TL	at the Authority and areas and supports the
pu an at pu ma co co co co co co co co co co co co co	at the Authority endorses and supports the blication of the draft Scheme for a Norfolk d Suffolk Mayoral Combined Authority as tached to this report for consultation proses, subject to such final revisions as ay be approved by the Chief Executive in nsultation with the Leader, and prior to the mmencement of the formal consultation ercise. Such formal consultation, on the heme, to commence once all Norfolk and offolk Councils have considered the matters this report and, in any event, no later than e 4 July 2016. In the event that a onstituent Authority named in the attached heme does not agree to endorse the Deal preement and/or the Scheme, the Authority thorises, through its Chief Executive the levant changes to be made to the Deal preement, the Scheme and the Governance eview to reflect that Authority's non- rticipation.
is th	at the outcome of the consultation exercise submitted to the Secretary of the State by e Chief Executive, in consultation with the ader, by early September.
20 es	at council meets by no later than 28 October 16 to consider giving consent to an Order tablishing a Mayoral Combined Authority for orfolk and Suffolk.
th an No th de co ne	sofar as any of the matters referred to in is report concern the discharge of functions cillary to the endorsing and signing of the orfolk and Suffolk Devolution Agreement and e publication of the Scheme, authority is legated to the Chief Executive in nsultation with the Leader, to take all ccessary steps and actions to progress the commendations detailed in this report.
re So ch ar de de wi an	egotiations on the Deal agreement have only cently been concluded and the Review and heme have been subject to last minute ange. In the event that additional powers e required by the Combined Authority to liver the Deal Agreement, authority is legated to the Chief Executive, in agreement th the other Chief Executives across Norfolk d Suffolk to make the necessary changes to e Scheme.
Αι	at further reports are presented to the uthority as appropriate as the Devolution occess develops.

 Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <u>do not</u> apply.) Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - ⊠ No, it is not a Key Decision - □ (a) A key decision means an executive decision which, pending any further guidance from the Secretary of State, is likely to:
 (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <u>do not</u> apply.) Yes, it is a Key Decision - ⊠ No, it is not a Key Decision - □ (a) A key decision means an executive decision which, pending any further guidance from the
which, pending any further guidance from the
Secretary of State, is likely to.
 be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area in the Borough/District; or
 (ii) result in any new expenditure, income or savings of more than £50,000 in relation to the Council's revenue budget or capital programme
 (iii) comprise or include the making, approval or publication of a draft or final scheme which may require, either directly or in the event of objections, the approval of a Minister of the Crown.
 (b) A decision taker may only make a key decision in accordance with the requirements of the Executive procedure rules set out in Part 4 of this [the] Constitution.
<i>The decisions made as a result of this report will usually be published within</i> 48 hours and cannot be actioned until five clear working days of the publication of the decision have elapsed. This item is included on the Decisions Plan.
Ward(s) affected: Not applicable
Background papers: (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)Council Report No: COU/SE/15/029 - 22 September 2015Council Report No: COU/SE/16/010 - 28 June 2016

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendations

- 1.1 At its meeting immediately prior to this meeting, Council will have considered and voted upon the report in connection with the Norfolk and Suffolk Devolution Agreement document in preparation for public consultation (Report No: COU/SE/16/010 refers.)
- 1.2 The Council operates a Leader and Cabinet (Executive) decision making model. The Local Government Act 2000 makes reference to decisions that are to be made by the Executive and those that cannot be. The Act states that if a function is not included in any enactment as being taken elsewhere, then it is an Executive Decision. Under that proviso this particular decision would be an Executive Decision by omission.
- 1.3 In order to avoid a challenge on technical grounds, the decision to endorse the Norfolk and Suffolk Devolution Agreement document and approve the Scheme for publication needs be taken by the Cabinet because it is technically an Executive decision.
- 1.4 For that reason, subject to their approval by Council on 28 June 2016, Cabinet is asked to endorse the recommendations considered by Council, as contained in Report No: COU/SE/16/010, as reproduced on pages 1 and 2 of this report.